I=PAT vs. Satoyama

-- Paradigms of SD Indicators

Shun SATO (Chiba University) 2016-05-13 fri. IAIA @Nagoya 15min.+QA

SD: Sustainable Development \Rightarrow Sustainability

1

Author's Bio



Shun Sato is a PhD student at Chiba Univ GSHSS. He studies **theories and roles of SD Indicators**. Bachelor's degree: integrated human studies, Kyoto Univ. Master's degree: global environmental studies, Kyoto Univ.

Summary Statement



Many previous sustainable development / sustainability indicators are based on I=PAT model (environmental Impact = Population * Affluence * Technology), though SATOYAMA model (human interventions play good roles) is also needed.

Today's Topics

- What's I=PAT? 1)
- What's Satoyama? 2)
- 3) **Examples of some SD Indicators**
- 4) Discussion
 - 1) Sustainability Indicators: why I=PAT model?
 - 2) **Relationships between Human & Nature: is** Satoyama model new paradigm? **Conceptual level** please think together :)

What's I=PAT ?

I=PAT equation

I: environmental Impact

human impact on the environment

P: Population

population size

A: Affluence

cf. Kaya Identity (Yoichi KAYA) **in IPCC Report**

$$CO_2 Emission = \frac{CO_2}{Energy} \times \frac{Energy}{GDP} \times \frac{GDP}{Population} \times Population$$

goods consumed per capita

T: Technology

pollution generated by technology per consumed





Planetary Boundaries (2009;2015)

Johan Rockström (2015) ver.1 ver.2 Bounding the Planetary Future: Why We Need a Great Transition http://www.greattransition.org/publication/bounding-the-planetary-future-why-we-need-a-great-transition





Planetary Boundaries (2009;2015) ver.2

BIOSPHERE

Johan Rockström (2015) Bounding the Planetary Future: Why We Need a Great Transition ver.1

http://www.greattransition.org/publication/bounding-the-planetary-future-why-we-need-a-great-transition

COMMUNICATIONS

(Environmental Impact)





Planetary Boundaries (2009;2015) ver.2

Johan Rockström (2015) ver.1 Bounding the Planetary Future: Why We Need a Great Transition http://www.greattransition.org/publication/bounding-the-planetary-future-why-we-need-a-great-transition





Planetary Boundaries (2009;2015)

Johan Rockström (2015) ver.1 ver.2 Bounding the Planetary Future: Why We Need a Great Transition http://www.greattransition.org/publication/bounding-the-planetary-future-why-we-need-a-great-transition

10

I=PAT



the bigger, the worse…

What's **SATOYAMA**?

= mountain

YAMA やま

=rural town \blacksquare = paddy field (with human intervention) \pm = soil

さと

SATO



Maruyama (2007)

We Care and Use Natural Stocks

New Harmonized Ecosystem (Ecological Cycle)

6F Food **Fiber** Feed **Fertilizer** Fuel **Forest**





Source: Prepared by the National and Regional Planning Bureau, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, based on "Population Census" by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), "Population Estimates" by MIC, "Intercensal Adjustment of Current Population Estimates (2000-2005)" by MIC, "Population Projections for Japan: 2006-2055: Outline of Results, Methods, and Assumptions" by the National Institute of Population and Social Security Research, and "Long-term Time-series Analysis of Population Distribution Change in the Japanese Archipelago (1974)" by the National Land Agency.

Social Vision in an Era of Population Decline from the Perspective of Local Economy and Well-being | Japan for Sustainability http://www.japanfs.org/en/news/archives/news_id034791.html



collapse ecological harmony harm to human timber production/agriculture

16

Satoyama





Examples of some **SD Indicators**

Thesedays

History	[SD] Our Common Future (1987)	
	PB Rockstrom et al (2009) Steffen et al (2015)	
[Environment/Nature]	LPI WWF (1997) UN (2015)	Gs
[Subjective]	EF IPI SWB/ Rees (1992) IWI Rees&Wackenagel(1994) OECD (2012) Happiness BLI	
[Social] GNP	HDI UNDP (1993)	
[GNP/GDP] by S.Kuznets	68SNA 93SNA 08SNA	
1900s 10s 20s 30s 40s 50s	60s 70s 80s 90s 10s 10s	30s 40s 50s

SDGs (2015) :Sustainable Development Goals



2016=>2030

17 Goals 169 Targets many Indicators

LPI: Living Planet Index EF: Ecological Footprint PB: Planetary Boundaries (DE: Doughnut Economics)



627

RESTING SPECES
 Terrentral geoise declined by 39 per cent between 1970 and 2010,
 Terrentral geoise declined by 39 per cent between 1970 and 2010,
 Terrentral geoise declined by 39 per cent between 1970 and
 the second seco

steepest decline, after another recent perior in the tropics and the



Freshwater LPI The LPI for freshwater peoks shows an average decline of 7% per car. The main threats to freshwater species are habitat for lose and fragmentation, pollution and invasive species. Changes to water levels and freshwater system connectivity. For example through irrigation and hydrogover dans – have a major impact on freshwater habitats.



THE ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT

Marine LPI Marine species ductin

as a q-y area, humainly's demaid on a starte has encoded 1 = 161081.1827 encoded the exological services we carrently for a starte has a starter that the anomaly of the exological services we carrently for a starter has the anomaly of the exological services we carrently for a starter has a starter that an anomaly of the anomaly of the starter has the anomaly of the anomaly of the starter has the anomaly of the starter has a starter has the anomaly of the starter has a starter has another has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has a starter the starter has a starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a starter has a starter has a starter has a starter has the starter has a star

wing chirds consentration is the introductor. In the interpret of the interpret of the interpret of the consentration of the interpret of the space. It includes the cally productive area (or biocapacity) needed for errops, land, built-op areas (afting grounds and forcer products, includes the area of forest needed to a knowle additional carbon emissions that cannot be adverted by the occass. Both likely additional beckers (gla). Theory for the interpret of the occass is the Both additional beckers (gla).

mponent of humanity's Ecological Footprint for more than half a atury, and remains on an upward trend. In 1961, carbon was 36 r cent of our total Footprint; by 2010, it comprised 33 per cent.



PLANETARY BOUNDARIES

Defining the safe space for life on Earth

statisty information and dividiants of open and obtained or off open in bring attempts of the stress of the stress field of the stress of the stress of the stress of the stress field statisty from the extraordinary productible and attable ones and the obtained stress of the stress



Hendy ass Diange is Land Use Hendy Diange is Land Use Hendy Hend

WF Living Planet Report 2014 Summary page 20



-----WW NETWORK REPOR 201 **Living Planet** Summar

WWF (2014)

W Living Planet Report 2014 Summary page

These are mainly based on I=PAT model



the bigger, the worse…

DISCUSSION (1)

why I=PAT model?

History	[SD] Our Common Future (1987)	
	PB Rockstrom et al (200 Steffen et al (2015)	9)
[Environment/Nature]	LPI WWF (1997) UN (2015)	Gs
[Subjective]	EF nef (2006) SWB/ Rees (1992) Rees&Wackenagel(1994) OECD (2012) Happiness BLI	
[Social] GNP	HDI UNDP (1993)	
[GNP/GDP] by S.Kuznets	68SNA 93SNA 08SNA	
1900s 10s 20s 30s 40s 50s	60s 70s 80s 90s 2000s 10s	20s 30s 40s 50s

History	[SD] Our Common Future (1987)	
	PB Rockstrom et al (20 Steffen et al (2015)	09)
[Environment/Nature]	LPI SC WWF (1997) UN (2015)Gs
[Subjective]	EFHPI nef (2006)SWB/Rees (1992) Rees&Wackenagel(1994)IWI OECD (2012)HappinessBLI OECD (2011)	
[Social] GNF	HDI UNDP (1993)	
[GNP/GDP] by S.Kuznets	68SNA 93SNA 08SNA	
1900s 10s 20s 30s 40s	50s 60s 70s 80s 90s 2000s 10s	20s 30s 40s 50s
[World Population]	ion Bonge 6B 7	B
1.6B Popu 2		26
[World Population] 1.6B	5B <i>5B</i> <i>100</i> <i>5B</i> <i>100</i> <i>5B</i> <i>100</i> <i>5B</i> <i>100</i> <i>5B</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>100</i> <i>10</i> <i>1</i>	B (111) 26

IUCN et al.(1980) World Conservation Strategy

the term "Sustainable Development" first used in the international context increasing population was the big problem

Why a world conservation strategy is needed A world strategy for the conservation of Earth's living resources is needed now because:



DISCUSSION (2)

about Human and Nature

Satoyama



SATOYAMA



Satoyama model = a new paradigm

I: Human AND Nature

nature is just an outer separated resource

II: Human IN Nature

nature (earth) is our fundamental base

III: Human AS Nature

we human are part of nature our activity can be a part of (new harmonized) ecological cycle

I=PAT

=Satoyama

– now main paradigm

Conclusion

Summary Statement



Many previous sustainable development / sustainability indicators are based on I=PAT model (environmental Impact = Population * Affluence * Technology), though SATOYAMA model (human interventions play good roles) is also needed.

Implication for Impact Assessment

We should consider…

Demographic Change(now&future)

- Increasing / Decreasing
- Aging

Both Impact

- Good Impact / Bad Impact

and we may actively **Make** positive impacts



Satoyama



34

Thanks

Shun SATO shun.satoh@gmail.com facebook/ linkedin

Appendix

Discussion Point

I am wondering…

Satoyama logic model is…

- only for
 - Japan ? (other country?)
 - local level ? (national/ regional/ global/ universal level?)
 - rural area ? (urban area?)
- really
 - paradigm level ?
 - only technical level ? (e.g. I=PAT, T<0)

Additional IDEA

Neo-Satoyama cf. Urban mine cf. Man-made naturallyregenerating forest

Definitions of SD

1) Our Common Future (1987)

Needs, Present generation, Future generation

2) Triple Bottom Line (+ α)

Environment, Society, Economy (+ Well-being…)

3) Herman Daly's Principles

Renewable resources, Non-renewable resources, Waste absorption

4) Non-decline of well-being

Headonic well-being, Evaluative well-being, Eudaimoniac well-being

5) Non-decline of productive base

capital assets, enabling assets

6) Non-decline of population

Stiglitz, Sen, Fittousi (2009; 2010)

Beyond GDP

- 1) improve GDP
- 2) measure Quality of Life
- 3) measure Sustainability

Roles of Indicators

- 1) Instrumental role
- 2) Conceptual role
- 3) Political role

EU FP7 Research Project POINT: policy influence on indicators BRAINPOOL: bringing alternative indicators into policy